

Serial No. 10/698,583

REMARKSSpecification

The amendment to the specification has now been submitted in compliance with the "Revised Amendment Practice" as set forth under 37 CFR 1.121 and submitted on a separate sheet of paper.

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 2 and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities: in these referenced claims, the Examiner has suggested that the newly added limitation: "neutral torque turning thrust" be changed to --neutral thrust turning torque-- for better form.

Claims 1, 2 and 12 have been cancelled.

35 U.S.C. §102 Rejections

Claims 1-6, 8-11, 22 and 25 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bertelsen et al. (6,715,574).

Claims 1-6, 8-11, 22 and 25 have been cancelled.

35 USC§103 Rejections

Claims 7 and 16 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Bertelsen et al. (6,715,574) in view of Bertelsen (3,827,527).

Claims 7 and 16 have been rejected.

Claims 7 and 16 have been cancelled.

Claim 21 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Bertelsen et al. (6,715,574) in view of Grengs et al. (3,176,647).

Claim 21 has been cancelled.

Serial No. 10/698,583

9

Claims 1-16, 22 and 25 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Bjorn-Ake (4,828,058) in view of Bertelsen et al. (6,715,574).

Claims 1-16, 22 and 25 have been cancelled.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 17-20 have been allowed.

Claims 23 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 23 and 24 have been rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and allowance is respectfully requested.

Based on the foregoing, applicant requests that Claims 23 and 24, as amended be allowed.

Date: 7/18/03

Signed: *Michael R. McKenna*